

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,781	05/30/2001	Daping Chu	109677	5070	
25944 7:	590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			HU, SHOUXIANG		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/866,781	CHU, DAPING				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shouxiang Hu	2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M.	arch 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2,6,17-19 and 21-30</u> is/are pending in	• •					
5)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· -)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6,17-19 and 21-30</u> is/are rejected.						
·	_						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		-	(d).			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	. ,)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 6, 17-19 and 21-30 are objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

Claims 21 and 24 each recite the subject matters of "a plurality of comparators, each of which is provided corresponding to a pair of", but fails to clarify in which way(s) the recited comparators are respectively corresponding to the memory cells. It is not clear whether each of the comparators is only corresponding to an individual one of the memory cells, or to a row of the memory cells.

Claim 24 recites the term of "a plurality of first layers", but fails to clarify that the first layer in each of the memory cells is formed from a same single layer.

In claim 24, the term of "third electrodes each of" (page 6, line 5) should read as: --third electrodes, each of--.

In claim 24, the term of "between one second electrode" (second line from the bottom) should read as: --between the one second electrode--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/866,781 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 21-22 and 24-28, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartlett (Bartlett et al., US 3,599,185).

Bartlett discloses a memory device (Figs. 1-5), comprising: first electrodes (DL, or 18); second electrode (CL or 20); third electrodes (BL or 16); a 2-D memory array having cells each having a first layer (14, piezoelectric, which is also naturally a ferroelectric material) and a second layer (12, ferroelectric), wherein the memory device of Bartlett inherently further comprises comparators and/or a circuits that inherently functions as comparators, since the memory device of Bartlett (especially see Fig.1 and col. 4, lines 12-36) operates inherently based on the comparison (either directly or indirectly) of the input and output voltages respectively corresponding to the first and third electrodes, i.e., the stored data is "0" or "1" depending on whether the output signal is negative or position as compared to a positive input signal. Accordingly, the external circuits connected to each pair of the first and third electrodes of each of the memory cells in Bartlett, including but not limited to the relevant circuits shown in Figs. 1-5, have to be able to register/identify a positive input signal that is applied to the memory cell through the first electrode and to register/identify the sign of the output signal through the third electrode based on the sign of the input signal, so as to register/identify the stored data. Therefore, such external circuits as a whole are readable as a comparator for that memory cell, regardless the comparison operation therein is implemented directly or indirectly.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 17-19, 23, 29 and 30, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartlett in view of Adachi (Adachi et al., JP 4-38866, 2/10/1992; of record).

The disclosure of Barlett is discussed as applied claims 2, 21-22 and 24-28 above.

Although Bartlett does not expressly disclose the parallel and perpendicular features for the first, second and third electrodes, it is art known that, as evidenced in Adachi (Figs. 1, 2 and 7), such parallel and perpendicular arrangements for the electrodes (see the parallel electrodes on each level, and the perpendicular arrangements for electrodes between neighboring levels) are desirable for forming reducing electrode size and/or for reducing potential shortening between the electrodes.

Therefore, it would have being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the parallel and perpendicular electrodes arrangements of Adachi into the device of Bartlett, so that a memory device with reduced electrode size and/or with reduced potential shortening between the electrodes would be obtained.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed march 15, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's main arguments include: Bartlett does disclose the recited comparators in the claimed invention. Responses to them have been fully incorporated into the claim rejections set forth above in this office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2811

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

May 25, 2006

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Shle